Casase222.224-00.404646.JPDoDomentent Filefide(0/1301/22/22) 222-agagle of 10414 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil de	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF T	·		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Aquil Tarkenton			DEFENDANTS Samuel Headley, e	et al	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Simon & Simon PC 18 Campus Blvd. Suite 100 – Newtown Square, PA 19073			NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O NDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	· ·
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	TF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In □	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 🕱 2 Incorporated and lof Business In 2	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			FORFEITURE/PENALTY		of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability ☒ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ roduct Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury □ Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS □ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 690 Other	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES □ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
X 1 Original □ 2 Re	moved from atte Court	Appellate Court	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	28 II S C section	n 1391(a)(1) and (2) nuse:	lling (Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : ▼ Yes □ No
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD 10/31/2022 **Marc Simon 6** FOR OFFICE USE ONLY					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate					
Address of Plaintiff: Aquil Tarkenton - 5247 Irving Street, Philadelphia, PA 19139 Address of Defendant: Samuel Headley, et al - 380 Woodbine Rd, Woodbine, NJ 08270					
Address of Defendant: Samuel Headley, et al - 380 Woodbine Rd, Woodbine, NJ 08270 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 4 Hog Island Rd Lester PA 19029					
riace of Accident, incident of Transaction.					
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:					
Case Number: Judge:	1	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when <i>Yes</i> is answered to any of the following questions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?					
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No Verification of the same individual?					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 10/31/2022 is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)					
	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	$Anomey 1.D. \pi (ij applicable)$			
CWH. (No. 1) in an article with	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff	<i>ниотеу 1.</i> D. # (у аррисате)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases:	Attorney-at-Law / Pro Se Plaintiff B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cas				
	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cas Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamatio 4. Marine Personal Ir 5. Motor Vehicle Per 6. Other Personal Inj 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity (Please specify):	es: and Other Contracts Injury on njury sonal Injury ury (Please specify): — Asbestos			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cas Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamatio 4. Marine Personal Ir 5. Motor Vehicle Per 6. Other Personal Inj 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity (Please specify):	es: and Other Contracts Injury on njury sonal Injury ury (Please specify): — Asbestos Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this center)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cas Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamatic 4. Marine Personal In 5. Motor Vehicle Per 6. Other Personal Inj 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 9. All other Diversity (Please specify):	es: and Other Contracts Injury on njury sonal Injury ury (Please specify): — Asbestos Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this center)	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injunction of the Personal In	es: and Other Contracts Injury on njury sonal Injury ury (Please specify): — Asbestos Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this cert R/31/2022, counsel of the contract of the contract of the cert R/31/2022, counsel of the	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injunction of the Personal In	es: and Other Contracts Injury on njury sonal Injury ury (Please specify): — Asbestos Cases			
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): (The effect of this center) (The effect of this center) (Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case Contracts 1. Insurance Contract 2. Airplane Personal 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injunction of the Personal In	es: and Other Contracts Injury on njury sonal Injury ury (Please specify): — Asbestos Cases			

Casase222224-00404646JPDolDomene1ht 1Filedied0/1301/1220222Palgageof 10414

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Aquil Tarker	nton	CIVIL ACTION		
Samuel Headle		NO.	-1 C	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management Track I e a copy on all defendant event that a defendant dishall, with its first appearties, a Case Management	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, couns Designation Form in all civil cases at the times. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the recoes not agree with the plaintiff regarding rance, submit to the clerk of court and ser nt Track Designation Form specifying the assigned.	me of verse g said ve on	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE M	ANAGEMENT TRACKS:		
a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.				
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.				
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. (
(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.				
commonly referred to as	complex and that need	o tracks (a) through (d) that are special or intense management by tailed explanation of special	()	
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall in	to any one of the other tracks.	(x)	
10/31/2022	Marc Simon	Aquil Tarkenton		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
(215)467-4666	(267)639-9006	MarcSimon@gosimon.	com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Aquil Tarkenton	:
5247 Irving Street	:
Philadelphia, PA 19139	: #
Plaintiff	:
V.	:
	:
Samuel Headley	:
380 Woodbine Rd,	:
Woodbine, NJ 08270	:
And	:
Boring Brothers, Inc.	:
7070 English Creek Ave,	:
Egg Harbor Township, NJ 08234	:
Defendant(s)	•

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Aquil Tarkenton, is a resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 2. Upon information and belief, Defendant, Samuel Headley, is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at the address listed in the caption of this Complaint.
- 3. Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc.is a corporate entity authorized to conduct business in the State of New Jersey, with a business address listed in the caption of this Complaint.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this Civil Action-Complaint in that the Plaintiff, Aquil Tarkenton, is a citizen of Pennsylvania and the Defendant, Samuel Headley, is a citizen of New Jersey, and the Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc., upon information and belief is a corporate entity with its principal place of business in New Jersey and the amount in controversy in this case, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$75,000.
- 5. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) (1) and (2) in that this is a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted in this Complaint occurred in this judicial district.

FACTS

6. On or about November 29 2021, at or about 10:30PM, Plaintiff, Aquil Tarkenton, was the operator of a motor vehicle, which was traveling on or near 4 Hog Island Road in Lester PA 19029.

- 7. At or about the same date and time, Defendant, Samuel Headley, was the operator of a motor vehicle, owned by Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc., which was traveling at or around the aforementioned location of the Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 8. At or about the same date and time, Defendants' vehicle was involved in a collision with Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 9. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant, Samuel Headley, was operating the aforesaid Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc.'s, vehicle as an agent, servant and/or employee, acting within the scope of it's agency.
- 10. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was the result of Defendant, negligently, and/or carelessly, operating his/her vehicle in such a manner so as to strike Plaintiff's vehicle.
- 11. The aforesaid motor vehicle collision was a direct result of the negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendants and not the result of any action or failure to act by the Plaintiff.
- 12. As a result of the collision, Plaintiff suffered severe and permanent injuries, jaw, face, chest, and lower back, as are more fully set forth below.

COUNT I Aquil Tarkenton v. Samuel Headley Negligence

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 14. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the direct cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Striking Plaintiff's vehicle while backing out of a driveway without clearance;

- b. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- c. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- d. Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike
 Plaintiff's vehicle without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or
 others;
- e. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- f. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- g. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- h. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- j. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- k. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- Driving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- m. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;
- p. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a collision;

- q. Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- r. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- s. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- t. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- u. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 15. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, jaw, face, chest, and lower back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 16. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.
- 17. As an additional result of the carelessness and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

- 18. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 19. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, Plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 20. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Aquil Tarkenton, prays for judgment in plaintiffs' favor and against Defendant, Samuel Headley, in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT II Aquil Tarkenton v. Boring Brothers, Inc. Negligent Entrustment

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 22. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, which was the proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the Plaintiff, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Permitting Defendant, Samuel Headley, to operate the motor vehicle without first ascertaining whether or not he was capable of properly operating said vehicle;
- b. Permitting Defendant, Samuel Headley, to operate the motor vehicle when Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc.., knew, or in the exercise of due care and diligence, should have known that Defendant, Samuel Headley, was capable of committing the acts of negligence set forth above;
- c. Failing to warn those persons, including the Plaintiff, that Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc., knew, or in the existence of due care and diligence should have known, that the Plaintiff would be exposed to Defendant, Samuel Headley'S negligent operation of the motor vehicle; and
- d. Otherwise negligently entrusting said vehicle to said individual Defendant,
 Samuel Headley.
- 23. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, jaw, face, chest, and lower back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

- 25. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.
- As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's further loss and detriment.
- 27. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff, Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Aquil Tarkenton, prays for judgment in plaintiff's favor and against Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

COUNT III Aquil Tarkenton v. Boring Brothers, Inc. Respondeat Superior

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if set forth fully at length herein.
- 29. The negligence, and/or carelessness of the Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc., itself and by and through its agent, servant and/or employee, Defendant, Samuel Headley, acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of it's agency, which was the direct and proximate cause of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision and the resultant injuries sustained by the plaintiffs, consisted of but are not limited to the following:

- a. Striking Plaintiff's vehicle while backing out of a driveway without clearance;
- a. Operating his/her vehicle into Plaintiff's lane of travel;
- b. Failing to maintain proper distance between vehicles;
- Operating said vehicle in a negligent, and/or careless manner so as to strike
 Plaintiff's vehicle, without regard for the rights or safety of Plaintiffs or others;
- d. Failing to have said vehicle under proper and adequate control;
- e. Operating said vehicle at a dangerous and excessive rate of speed under the circumstances;
- f. Violation of the assured clear distance rule;
- g. Failure to keep a proper lookout;
- h. Failure to apply brakes earlier to stop the vehicle without striking the Plaintiff's vehicle;
- i. Being inattentive to his/her duties as an operator of a motor vehicle;
- j. Disregarding traffic lanes, patterns, and other devices;
- briving at a high rate of speed which was high and dangerous for conditions;
- 1. Failing to remain continually alert while operating said vehicle;
- m. Failing to perceive the highly apparent danger to others which the actions and/or inactions posed;
- r. Failing to give Plaintiffs meaningful warning signs concerning the impending collision;

- o. Failing to exercise ordinary care to avoid a collision;
- Failing to be highly vigilant and maintain sufficient control of said vehicle
 and to bring it to a stop on the shortest possible notice;
- q. Operating said vehicle with disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, even though he/she was aware or should have been aware of the presence of Plaintiff and the threat of harm posed to him/her;
- r. Continuing to operate the vehicle in a direction towards the Plaintiff's vehicle when he/she saw, or in the exercise of reasonable diligence, should have seen, that further operation in that direction would result in a collision;
- s. Failing to operate said vehicle in compliance with the applicable laws and ordinances of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, pertaining to the operation and control of motor vehicles; and
- t. Being otherwise careless and/or negligent under the circumstances.
- 30. As a direct and consequential result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant, described above, the Plaintiff suffered various serious and permanent personal injuries, serious impairment of bodily function and/or permanent serious disfigurement and/or aggravation of pre-existing conditions, jaw, face, chest, and lower back, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.
- 31. As a result of these injuries, all of which are permanent in nature and all of which are to Plaintiff's great financial detriment and loss, Plaintiff has in the past, is presently and may in the future suffer great anguish, sickness and agony and will continue to suffer for an indefinite time into the future.

CaSese2222224-00464646JPDobomene bit 1File de do 1301/1321222Page de 164 164 14

32. As an additional result of the carelessness, and/or negligence of Defendant,

Plaintiff has suffered emotional injuries, along with the physical injuries suffered.

33. As a further result of Plaintiff's injuries, he/she has in the past, is presently and

may in the future undergo a great loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, all to Plaintiff's

further loss and detriment.

34. As a direct result of the negligent, and/or careless conduct of the Defendant,

plaintiff suffered damage to his personal property, including his/her motor vehicle, which

Plaintiff was operating at the time of the aforesaid motor vehicle collision; including but not

limited to, storage fees and towing, all to Plaintiff's great loss and detriment.

35. Furthermore, in addition to all the injuries and losses suffered by Plaintiff,

Plaintiff has also incurred or will incur medical, rehabilitative and other related expenses in an

amount equal to and/or in excess of the basic personal injury protection benefits required by the

Pennsylvania Vehicle Financial Responsibility Law, 75 Pa.C.S. Section 1701, et. Seq., as

amended, for which he/she makes a claim for payment in the present action.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Aquil Tarkenton, prays for judgment in Plaintiffs' favor and

against Defendant, Boring Brothers, Inc., in an amount in excess of Seventy-Five Thousand

(\$75,000.00) Dollars, plus all costs and other relief this court deems necessary.

SIMON & SIMON, P.C.

BY: *Mare Simon*s

Marc Simon, Esquire